

Aronson & Mills (1959)

Reprodução dos testes t com base na sintaxe:

http://gaius.fpce.uc.pt/niips/spss_prc/meta/meta_ind/meta_ind.htm

TABLE 1 MEANS OF THE SUM OF RATINGS FOR THE DIFFERENT EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS				TABLE 2 SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS OF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS			
Rating Scales	Experimental Conditions			Rating Scales	Differences Between Conditions		
	Control (N=21)	Mild (N=21)	Severe (N=21)		Control- Severe	Mild-Severe	Control- Mild
Discussion [9]							
M	80.2	81.8	97.6	$t = 3.66$	$t = 2.62$	$t = .29$	
SD	13.2	21.0	16.6	$P < .001^*$	$P < .02$	N.S.	
Participants [8]							
M	89.9	89.3	97.7	$t = 2.03$	$t = 1.97$	$t = .15$	
SD	10.9	14.1	13.2	$P < .05$	$P < .10$	N.S.	
Total [17]							
M	166.7	171.1	195.3	$t = 3.32$	$t = 2.33$	$t = .49$	
SD	21.6	34.0	31.9	$P < .01$	$P < .05$	N.S.	

* The P values given are based on both tails of the t distribution.

Rating Scales: Discussion

Control vs. Severe		Mild vs. Severe		Control vs. Mild	
N1	21	N1	21	N1	21
M1	97.60	M1	97.60	M1	81.80
DP1	16.600	DP1	16.600	DP1	21.000
EPM1	3.622	EPM1	3.622	EPM1	4.583
N2	21	N2	21	N2	21
M2	80.20	M2	81.80	M2	80.20
DP2	13.200	DP2	21.000	DP2	13.200
EPM2	2.880	EPM2	4.583	EPM2	2.880
DIF	17.40	DIF	15.80	DIF	1.60
DPP	14.997	DPP	18.928	DPP	17.539
EPD	4.628	EPD	5.841	EPD	5.413
T	3.760	T	2.705	T	.296
GL	40	GL	40	GL	40
P_BI	.0005	P_BI	.0100	P_BI	.7691
P_UNI	.0003	P_UNI	.0050	P_UNI	.3845
NC	95	NC	95	NC	95
IC_INF	8.0463	IC_INF	3.9941	IC_INF	-9.3394
IC_SUP	26.7537	IC_SUP	27.6059	IC_SUP	12.5394
D	1.1603	D	.8347	D	.0912
D_H	1.1384	D_H	.8190	D_H	.0895
R	.5110	R	.3932	R	.0467
R2	.2611	R2	.1546	R2	.0022
BESD_PI	.2445	BESD_PI	.3034	BESD_PI	.4767
BESD_PS	.7555	BESD_PS	.6966	BESD_PS	.5233
U1	60.9	U1	48.9	U1	7.0
U2	71.9	U2	66.2	U2	51.8
U3	87.7	U3	79.8	U3	53.6

TABLE 1
MEANS OF THE SUM OF RATINGS FOR THE DIFFERENT EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

Rating Scales	Experimental Conditions		
	Control (N=21)	Mild (N=21)	Severe (N=21)
Discussion [9]			
M	80.2	81.8	97.6
<i>SD</i>	13.2	21.0	16.6
Participants [8]			
M	89.9	89.3	97.7
<i>SD</i>	10.9	14.1	13.2
Total [17]			
M	166.7	171.1	195.3
<i>SD</i>	21.6	34.0	31.9

TABLE 2
SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS OF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

Rating Scales	Differences Between Conditions		
	Control-Severe	Mild-Severe	Control-Mild
Discussion [9]	$t = 3.66$ $P < .001^*$	$t = 2.62$ $P < .02$	$t = .29$ N.S.
Participants [8]	$t = 2.03$ $P < .05$	$t = 1.97$ $P < .10$	$t = .15$ N.S.
Total [17]	$t = 3.32$ $P < .01$	$t = 2.33$ $P < .05$	$t = .49$ N.S.

* The P values given are based on both tails of the t distribution.

Rating Scales: **Participants**

Control vs. Severe		Mild vs. Severe		Control vs. Mild	
N1	21	N1	21	N1	21
M1	97.70	M1	97.70	M1	89.90
DP1	13.200	DP1	13.200	DP1	10.900
EPM1	2.880	EPM1	2.880	EPM1	2.379
N2	21	N2	21	N2	21
M2	89.90	M2	89.30	M2	89.30
DP2	10.900	DP2	14.100	DP2	14.100
EPM2	2.379	EPM2	3.077	EPM2	3.077
DIF	7.80	DIF	8.40	DIF	.60
DPP	12.105	DPP	13.657	DPP	12.602
EPD	3.736	EPD	4.215	EPD	3.889
T	2.088	T	1.993	T	.154
GL	40	GL	40	GL	40
P_BI	.0432	P_BI	.0531	P_BI	.8782
P_UNI	.0216	P_UNI	.0266	P_UNI	.4391
NC	95	NC	95	NC	95
IC_INF	.2501	IC_INF	-.1184	IC_INF	-7.2601
IC_SUP	15.3499	IC_SUP	16.9184	IC_SUP	8.4601
D	.6444	D	.6151	D	.0476
D_H	.6322	D_H	.6034	D_H	.0467
R	.3135	R	.3006	R	.0244
R2	.0983	R2	.0903	R2	.0006
BESD_PI	.3432	BESD_PI	.3497	BESD_PI	.4878
BESD_PS	.6568	BESD_PS	.6503	BESD_PS	.5122
U1	40.3	U1	38.9	U1	3.7
U2	62.6	U2	62.1	U2	50.9
U3	74.0	U3	73.1	U3	51.9

TABLE 1
MEANS OF THE SUM OF RATINGS FOR THE DIFFERENT EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

Rating Scales	Experimental Conditions		
	Control (N=21)	Mild (N=21)	Severe (N=21)
Discussion [9]			
M	80.2	81.8	97.6
SD	13.2	21.0	16.6
Participants [8]			
M	89.9	89.3	97.7
SD	10.9	14.1	13.2
Total [17]			
M	166.7	171.1	195.3
SD	21.6	34.0	31.9

TABLE 2
SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS OF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

Rating Scales	Differences Between Conditions		
	Control-Severe	Mild-Severe	Control-Mild
Discussion [9]	$t = 3.66$ $P < .001^*$	$t = 2.62$ $P < .02$	$t = .29$ N.S.
Participants [8]	$t = 2.03$ $P < .05$	$t = 1.97$ $P < .10$	$t = .15$ N.S.
Total [17]	$t = 3.32$ $P < .01$	$t = 2.33$ $P < .05$	$t = .49$ N.S.

* The P values given are based on both tails of the t distribution.

Rating Scales: **Total**

Control vs. Severe		Mild vs. Severe		Control vs. Mild	
N1	21	N1	21	N1	21
M1	195.30	M1	195.30	M1	171.10
DP1	31.900	DP1	31.900	DP1	34.000
EPM1	6.961	EPM1	6.961	EPM1	7.419
N2	21	N2	21	N2	21
M2	166.70	M2	171.10	M2	166.70
DP2	21.600	DP2	34.000	DP2	21.600
EPM2	4.714	EPM2	7.419	EPM2	4.714
DIF	28.60	DIF	24.20	DIF	4.40
DPP	27.241	DPP	32.967	DPP	28.483
EPD	8.407	EPD	10.174	EPD	8.790
T	3.402	T	2.379	T	.501
GL	40	GL	40	GL	40
P_BI	.0015	P_BI	.0222	P_BI	.6194
P_UNI	.0008	P_UNI	.0111	P_UNI	.3097
NC	95	NC	95	NC	95
IC_INF	11.6092	IC_INF	3.6381	IC_INF	-13.3653
IC_SUP	45.5908	IC_SUP	44.7619	IC_SUP	22.1653
D	1.0499	D	.7341	D	.1545
D_H	1.0301	D_H	.7202	D_H	.1516
R	.4737	R	.3520	R	.0789
R2	.2244	R2	.1239	R2	.0062
BESD_PI	.2631	BESD_PI	.3240	BESD_PI	.4606
BESD_PS	.7369	BESD_PS	.6760	BESD_PS	.5394
U1	57.2	U1	44.5	U1	11.6
U2	70.0	U2	64.3	U2	53.1
U3	85.3	U3	76.9	U3	56.1

Nota 1: As diferenças entre os valores obtidos pela [sintaxe](#) e os valores apresentados nos Quadros do artigo original devem-se aos inevitáveis erros de arredondamento a uma casa decimal das estatísticas-resumo apresentadas pelos autores.

Nota 2: Ver, igualmente, esta [sintaxe](#).